	Case 2:22-cv-01504-DAD-AC Documer	nt 21 Filed 02/25/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL TYRONE SHANNON,	No. 2:22-cv-01504-DAD-AC (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	J. TAPIZ, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S
15	Defendants.	FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM (Doc. No. 20)
16		(Doc. No. 20)
17	Plaintiff Michael Tyrone Shannon is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred	
19	to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On May 24, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's operative second	
21	amended complaint and found that plaintiff had failed to state any cognizable claims. (Doc. No.	
22	13.) Plaintiff was given an opportunity to file a third amended complaint and was warned that his	
23	"failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in a	
24	recommendation that this action be dismissed." (<i>Id.</i> at 5–6.) Despite requesting and receiving	
25	several extensions of time in which to do so (Doc. Nos.14, 15, 17-19), plaintiff did not file a third	
26	amended complaint and has not otherwise communicated with the court.	
27	Accordingly, on December 20, 2023, the magistrate judge issued findings and	
28	recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed, without leave to amend, due to	
		1

	Case 2:22-cv-01504-DAD-AC Document 21 Filed 02/25/25 Page 2 of 2	
1	plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No. 20.)	
2	Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
3	objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days from the date of service. (<i>Id.</i> at 2.)	
4	To date, no objections to the pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time	
5	in which to do so has now passed.	
6	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
7	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the	
8	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
9	Accordingly,	
10	1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 20, 2023 (Doc. No. 20)	
11	are adopted in full;	
12	2. This action is dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim for	
13	relief; and	
14	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: February 25, 2025	
17	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
18	CHILD STATES DISTACT VODGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		